Compressive Strength of Woven and Strand of Recycled Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Reinforced Concrete
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Abstract: Woven fiber reinforced concrete is a material, which contains various quantities of polymer materials in composition, in addition to the conventional components of an ordinary concrete (mineral binder – cement, aggregates, water and additives). The present work refers to the concrete in which the reinforcement is made of polymeric materials (polyethylene terephthalate), originated from the recycling programs of PET–type packaging. The experimental program was aimed at constructing some samples of woven fiber reinforced concrete from recycled material coming from PET packaging wastes, their testing to the compression demands and the comparison of results with the characteristics of the standardized samples of concrete (class C30/37). Based on a sufficient number of determinations, certain correlations can be established between the compressive strength of the concrete at 28 days depending on the dosage of components (aggregate, binder, and reinforcement), water / cement ratio, reinforcement volume, etc., essential parameters from a compositional point of view. These correlations, customized by cement type and strength class, are very important to determine – with approximation – to what dosage of components (aggregate, binder, reinforcement) the respective level of compressive strength of concrete is obtained.
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1. Introduction

The development of the construction sector imposes the finding of some solutions of using cheaper materials, locally, considering the magnitude of the sector, it requires the consumption of natural aggregates, binders, armatures, etc., which are difficult to ensure from traditional sources [1–9]. It must equally be ensured that the exigencies (safety, durability) must be met throughout the entire life span of the construction [1,2,10–12].

Concrete is one of the most widely–used materials in the construction industry, due to the continuous adaptability as well as the high performance obtained throughout the years. In reinforcing concrete, fibers are increasingly used because they provide control of the cracking process and increase the resistance to impact, shocks and temperature variations [1,2,10–18]. Fibers can be used in the preparation of concrete for different building elements, including rigid concrete structure, pedestrian and concrete pavements and borders, support walls or bulwark foundations, but they are starting to be used more and more in the production of prefabricated [1–12].
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The fibred reinforced concrete (Figure 1) is more flexible, having a higher resistance to cracking caused by its contractions in the plastic state, being by far lighter and safer to use than the traditional [2,10–12]. Unlike conventional or metallic fiber reinforcement, the use of polymeric fibers improves the strength of the concrete without the risk of corrosion of the reinforcement, while maintaining the durability [5–8].

![Figure 1. Fibre–reinforced concrete](image)

2.Materials and methods

Non–reinforced concrete (class C30/37) and reinforced concrete with reinforcements from recycled polyethylene terephthalate (PET) waste were made in laboratory conditions, on an exact recipe and in accordance with the requirements.

The present work refers to the concrete in which the reinforcement is made of polymeric materials (polyethylene terephthalate packaging wastes). In this sense, the influence of two woven and braided polyethylene terephthalate (PET) reinforcements on the compression characteristics of the concrete was studied. In the first of the concrete reinforcement methods, the extraction of yarns from polyethylene terephthalate (PET) packaging was chosen (Figure 2), using a handmade bottle cutter, followed by a mesh–shaped braiding process (Figure 3.a). The second concrete reinforcement method uses reinforcements in the form of polymer rope, obtained from weaving the polyethylene terephthalate (PET) long yarns (Figure 3.b). Both methods are described below.

![Figure 2. Extraction of long flat strips (yarns) from polyethylene terephthalate (PET)](image)

![Figure 3. Preparing the PET reinforcement: a) PET mesh–shaped woven; b) PET rope strand](image)
Compressive strength is one of the main criteria for assessing the quality of a concrete.[1,4, 8,12] The knowledge of the compressive strength gives sufficiently precise indications on the resistance to other demands as well as on the other physical–mechanical properties of the concrete.[1,4,12] The determination of the compressive strength of the reinforced concrete (at 28 days) is determined according to [12,19] and [12,20], based on the test carried out on a minimum number of three samples of cubic form (cubic strength).

It is possible to obtain an appreciable resistance to corrosion through a carefully made, well compacted concrete. The determination of the volumetric mass (density) is made according to [12,21]. It is expected that the determined value of the concrete density of class C8/10–C35/45 will be in the range 2200–2400 kg/m³ [12].

Experiments
Making of reinforcements

Firstly, the yarns made from polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles were extracted (Figure 4). After extraction of 3–5 mm yarn and braiding it on the special woven support, the PET mesh–shaped woven will be prepared for use in the experiment no.2.

The braiding process has been made using a metal support drilled on the edges, made specifically for this process from metal profiles connected as a rectangle and drilled on the edges (Figure 5). Polyethylene terephthalate threads are inserted into these holes throughout the length and the entire width, making the manual braiding. The braiding stand being larger than the formworks of test specimens, results in a net larger than approximately 25 cm wide per 40 cm width. The resulting PET mesh–shaped woven require cutting to the size of the joint of the test specimens (15x15x15 cm). After cutting the PET mesh–shaped woven to the required dimensions, a weight of the 10 grams woven net is obtained, approximately 0.6% relative to the cement quantity of the grade C30/37 concrete used as matrix.

![Figure 4. Extraction in yarns and obtaining the long polyethylene terephthalate (PET) yarn](image1)

![Figure 5. The braiding process](image2)
Using the long polyethylene terephthalate (PET) yarns, they are inserted into a special made-up apparatus (Figure 6) for weaving them in special ropes which will be used as reinforcement in the reinforced concrete samples (experiment no. 3). Thus, 18 polyethylene terephthalate (PET) yarns (6 through each clamping element and all 18 attached to the opposite end) passed. The thickness is about 1 cm (Figure 7.a). After making the ropes it was necessary to cut them to the dimensions necessary to get into the laboratory formworks (15x15x15 cm). The long ropes have been cut about 14–15 cm and then bonded (Figure 7.b).

![Figure 6. Apparatus for weaving in rope](image)

![Figure 7. The polyethylene terephthalate (PET) rope and the rope strands used as reinforcement](image)

The polyethylene terephthalate (PET) rope strands were inserted into 4 pieces of specimen. The weight of these strands is 12 g, a rope of 3 g per piece, thus 12 g per specimen maintaining the reinforcement ratio with polyethylene, relative to cement, at 0.7 %.

**Making the concrete samples**

Special formworks were prepared for the concrete samples (Figure 8). After the realization of the concrete class C30/37, it was poured as a concrete sample considered as standard samples. The vibrating mass ensured the homogenization and elimination of the casting holes in the tubes. After completion, the samples were properly labelled so that they could be easily identified at the time of testing. The day after the casting the samples were stripped and kept in laboratory conditions for 28 days until the concrete maturation [12].

**Making the samples reinforced with PET mesh-shaped woven**

Special formworks were prepared for the samples from experiment no. 2. The entire matrix assembly and the polyethylene terephthalate (PET) reinforcement were constantly vibrated on the vibrating table to ensure a correct homogenization of the concrete and to make compact samples without casting holes. After completion, the samples were labelled (Figure 9).
The next day, the specimens were stripped and then kept in optimal conditions in the specialized laboratory for 28 days for maturation.[12]

Making the samples reinforced with PET rope strands

Special formworks were prepared for the samples from experiment no. 3 (Figure 10). After proper casting and vibration of the samples, they were labelled (Figure 11) accordingly to be easily and correctly identified at the time of the laboratory tests. The next day the specimens were stripped and then kept in optimum conditions in the specialized laboratory for 28 days for the proper maturation of the concrete, necessary for carrying out the laboratory tests [12].
3. Results and discussions

After the 28 days necessary to achieve the maturation of all the specimens it is possible to proceed to the accomplishment of the laboratory loads. The UTest–Autocon testing equipment was used to determine the compression load (Figure 12 and Figure 13). All the experimental values on the compression strength test are presented in Table 1.

![Figure 12. Compression strength test of with PET mesh–shaped woven’ reinforced concrete samples](image1)

![Figure 13. Compression strength test of with PET rope strands’ reinforced concrete samples](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. experiment</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Experimental values</th>
<th>Average value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment no.1: Concrete samples (etalon)</td>
<td>Breaking force, [kN]</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compression strength, [N/mm²]</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass of the samples, [kg]</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>7.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density of concrete, [kg/m³]</td>
<td>2341</td>
<td>2364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment no.2: PET mesh–shaped woven’ reinforced concrete samples</td>
<td>Breaking force, [kN]</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compression strength, [N/mm²]</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass of the samples, [kg]</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>8.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density of concrete, [kg/m³]</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment no.3: PET rope strands’ reinforced concrete samples</td>
<td>Breaking force, [kN]</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compression strength, [N/mm²]</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass of the samples, [kg]</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>8.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density of concrete, [kg/m³]</td>
<td>2379</td>
<td>2389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have the following comments and remarks:

---

The dispersed reinforced concrete samples were weighed to determine the densities of each. The average determined value of the density of concrete from class C30/37 was within the recommended range (between 2200–2400 kg/m³), being 2360 kg/m³ (in the concrete sample), 2424 kg/m³ (in the test with PET mesh–shaped woven’s reinforcement), respectively 2383 kg/m³ (in the test with PET rope strands’ reinforcement) – Figure 14.
— concrete sample, 8.18 kg (in the test with PET mesh–shaped woven’s reinforcement) respectively 8.04 kg (in the test with PET rope strands’ reinforcement) – Figure 15.

— We started with the compression loading of the standard samples, with the average breaking force of 900 kN, resulting in an average resistance on the 3 samples of 40 N/mm² (Figure 15).

![Figure 14](image1.png)

**Figure 14.** Breaking force and density of the concrete – Comparative study on the concrete samples, the PET mesh–shaped woven’ reinforced concrete samples and the PET rope strands’ reinforced concrete samples

— The mass of the samples (with the nominal area 22500 mm², 150x150 mm) was 7.96 kg (in the concrete sample), 8.18 kg (in the test with PET mesh–shaped woven’s reinforcement) respectively 8.04 kg (in the test with PET rope strands’ reinforcement) – Figure 15.

![Figure 15](image2.png)

**Figure 15.** Compression strength and mass of the samples – Comparative study on the concrete samples, the PET mesh–shaped woven’ reinforced concrete samples and the PET rope strands’ reinforced concrete samples
— We started with the compression loading of the standard samples, with the average breaking force of 900 kN, resulting in an average resistance on the 3 samples of 40 N/mm$^2$ (Figure 15).

— Compression loading of the concrete samples reinforced with polyethylene terephthalate (PET) mesh–shaped woven was performed with the average breaking force of 933 kN (Figure 14). The results of the polyethylene terephthalate (PET) mesh–shaped woven reinforcement were satisfactory (Figure 15) because, with an average of the 3 tested samples, it showed an improvement of 1.45 N/mm$^2$, more precisely a total average resistance of 41.45 N/mm$^2$, an increase of 3.6% compared to the standard base samples of the matrix concrete class C30/37.

— Compression loading of the concrete samples reinforced with polyethylene terephthalate (PET) rope strands was performed with the average breaking force of 912 kN (Figure 14). The average resistance obtained for the reinforcement with polyethylene terephthalate (PET) rope strands was 40.55 N/mm$^2$ (Figure 15), comparable to the case of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) mesh–shaped woven reinforcement and the case of standard concrete.

4. Conclusions
Fiber–reinforced composites with exceptionally high specific strengths and moduli have been produced that utilize low–density fiber and matrix materials. We studied the use of two types of reinforcement (woven mesh and rope strands made from recycled polyethylene terephthalate), analyzing the behavior of reinforced concrete with these polymer fibers, during the compression tests.

Having in view the presented results on the compression loading experiments on concrete reinforced with woven polymer fibers coming from recycled post–consumer polyethylene terephthalate (PET), we have the following conclusions:

- The reinforcement method using polyethylene terephthalate (PET) mesh–shaped woven is the most burdensome in terms of the process time because it takes a very long time to produce the braiding, so it can only be used industrially with the assistance of specialized machines for better performance.

- Although it requires the device to be made (apparatus for weaving in rope), the time of making the rope strands in relation to the mesh–shaped woven is relatively small (approximately 5 minutes for extraction in yarns and approximately 6 minutes for the rope strands). Also, the reinforcement times are relatively small;

- These polyethylene terephthalate (PET) reinforcement materials can take different shapes and sizes, such as woven (strands), in the form of plastic nets, but also three–dimensional. As in the case of PET mesh–shaped woven’ reinforced concrete, the enhancements do not occur in the reinforcement of the rope strand’s reinforced concrete, in the compression strength. We believe that such reinforcement coming from recycled polyethylene terephthalate wastes are much more feasible in the case of loads in the stretch test, where we expect the results to be considerable.
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