Polymeric Biomaterials with Complementary Role in the Articular Endoprosthesis

I. Surface Characteristics
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The change of the organic bone cements’ composition (COO) determines the adjustment of some important properties for the behaviour as fixing material, such as the: superficial tension, polarity, surface energy, viscosity, contraction at polymerisation, porosity. In the present paper we monitored the influence of the composition’s changes for some acrylic cements upon their handling features. The samples were obtained via the partial replacement of the methyl methacrylate (MMA) in the liquid phase of some usual formulas with another acrylic monomer. For these, it was measured the contact angles as wettability of the fixing material. Moreover, it was estimated the values of the hardening time, important parameter for the application phases of the cements with cold hardening and fixing of the articular endoprostheses.
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In the last decades, the orthopaedic surgery registered a spectacular evolution following the improvement of the prosthetic devices’ features, of the applied surgical techniques, but especially of the progress in the polymeric biomaterials field [1-5]. The composite biomaterials used in the endoprosthetic interventions are highly useful due to some properties such as the exceptional resistance in connection to their specific weight or their superior biocompatibility [6].

In addition to the physical and chemical special properties, the polymeric materials show also an important feature and namely the possibility to project their features such that they answer as much as possible to the requirements imposed by such a usage [7,8].

The diversity of the composite biomaterials of the orthopaedic usage, but especially the specificity of their physical and chemical features allows for their grouping according to the role which they possess in the recreation of an articulation [9,10].

Thus, the polymeric compositions of orthopaedic usage can be grouped in:
- Non-biodegradable (reconstruction) materials
- Bioreabsorbable composite (most often for tissue replacement)
- Complementary materials (fixing joint prostheses)

If the non-biodegradable materials are adequate for the permanent endoprostheses, the bioresorbable composites are used for the replacement of ligaments or tendons, as well as for bone reconstructions [6].

The articular reconstructions imply, in addition to using prosthetic devices, the usage of materials whose role, although complementary, is very important for the successful intervention. The biocomposites in this group are materials for fixing the prostheses, like the organic bone cement (COO) type. Among these, the acrylic cements with hardening at room temperature hold a top position due to the simplicity of application, of the good post-surgery results in the short and average term, of the good morphological adaptation and of the possibility to transfer the efforts in the prosthese/bone assembly.

Experimental part

The analysed cements were obtained via the partial replacement of the classic monomer (MMA) in the liquid phase with another acrylate, butyl acrylate (BuA). The materials were prepared according to the experimental protocol shown above [11]. The three CCO series have the compositions mentioned in table 1.

The watering (contact) angle was established via the dripping-method deposited on a horizontal surface. It was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cement sample</th>
<th>Solid phase</th>
<th>Liquid phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMMA / PMMA-co-S proportion</td>
<td>MMA/BuA (v/v) proportion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1</td>
<td>1 / 0</td>
<td>1 / 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC2</td>
<td>[PMMA] = 100 %, [PMMA-co-S] = 0 %</td>
<td>1 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC3</td>
<td>1 / 0, 3</td>
<td>1 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF1</td>
<td>[PMMA] = 75 %, [PMMA-co-S] = 24 %</td>
<td>1 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS1</td>
<td>1 / 5</td>
<td>1 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS3</td>
<td>[PMMA] = 10 %, [PMMA-co-S] = 84 %</td>
<td>1 / 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

COMPOSITION OF THE PREPARED ACRYLIC CEMENTS

PMMA= poly(methy1 methacrylate), PMMA-co-S= poly(methy1 methacrylate-co-styrene), MMA=methyl methacrylate, BuA=butyl acrylate
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used the KRUSS Easy Drop Standard goniometer, endowed with the automatic system for capturing and analysing the geometry of the drop (video camera and DSA 1 software). On the surface of the freshly prepared cement sample, two liquids with different polarity were deposited, water and glycol ethylene (EG) [12].

In order to estimate the hardening time, a qualitative method was applied. The cement samples were deposited on a glass support and it was monitored the evolution in time of the footprint of the spherical head of the Hoppler consistometer (diameter $\Phi = 16$ mm), the loading of the pole being equal for all the recordings.

### Results and discussions

The change of the composition determines also the adjustment of some important properties for the behaviour as fixing material, such as the: superficial tension, polarity, surface energy, viscosity, contraction at polymerisation, porosity [7,8,13,14].

The values of the static wetting angles (fig. 1) corresponding to the studied composites are summarized in table 2 [15].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCO sample</th>
<th>$\theta (^\circ)$ Water / EG</th>
<th>CCO sample</th>
<th>$\theta (^\circ)$ Water / EG</th>
<th>CCO sample</th>
<th>$\theta (^\circ)$ Water / EG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC1</td>
<td>83.00 / 58.33</td>
<td>CP1</td>
<td>78.63 / 81.03</td>
<td>CS1</td>
<td>76.40 / 66.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC2</td>
<td>83.43 / 70.00</td>
<td>CP2</td>
<td>90.53 / 86.01</td>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>53.70 / 54.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC3</td>
<td>92.40 / 81.39</td>
<td>CP3</td>
<td>83.00 / 70.07</td>
<td>CS3</td>
<td>91.58 / 71.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 WATER AND ETHYLENE GLYCOL WETTING ANGLES ($\theta$)

It can be seen that, in the CC series, the wetting angle decreases at the same time with the increase of the butyl acrylate content, thus obtaining the order $\text{CC1} < \text{CC2} < \text{CC3}$. The increase of the wetting angle for the samples with high content in the BuA units may be due to the increase of the cohesion between the polymeric links. The BuA units act as a compatibilization agent between the matrix polymer (the PMMA in the solid phase of the cement) and the polymer formed in the preparation stage of the CCO through the polymerisation of the comonomers included in the liquid phase of the cement.

As it was expected, the presence and the proportion of the non-polar styrene units in the solid phase of the cement formula changes the balance between the adhesion and cohesion forces. This influence, to which it is added also that induced by the concentration of the Bu units, leads to the following order of the cements’ wetness:

$\text{CP1} < \text{CP3} < \text{CP2}; \text{CS2} < \text{CS1} < \text{CS3}$

No matter the composition, the processes which occur during cement preparation shows that after bringing into contact the two components of the cement, it starts the swelling of the polymer particles in the added monomer. The swelling process is accompanied by the start of the matrix polymerization reaction. The mixture, continuously homogenized in order to favour the freeing of the reaction heat, reaches the non-adhesive plastic state. After this stage, the material is introduced in the medullary canal where the polymerization is finalized and the cement becomes solid, hard and compact (fig. 2) [16].

The hardening time, parameter determining the handling features for the acrylic orthopaedic cements, is strongly influenced by the nature and ratio of the components [7,13,14]. A reduced handling timing (the plastisol phase) could increase the probability of the loss of the ideal moment for the application of the cement [13].

The evolution in time of the footprint left on the cement’s surface by a metallic ball upon the application of a constant force can supply useful information with regard to the evolution of the cement hardening process (fig. 3).

The results regarding the hardening time shows that its value is influenced by the composition of the cement, being obtained for the samples of the three series the following order:

$\text{CC1} < \text{CC2} < \text{CC3}; \text{CP1} < \text{CP2} < \text{CP3}; \text{CS1} < \text{CS2} < \text{CS3}$

For the samples in various series, but with equal content of BuA, the hardening time is ordered thus: $\text{CC} < \text{CP} < \text{CS}$

The information obtained, even if it has only a qualitative order, shows that the partial replacement of the MMA with BuA leads to the increase of the polymerisation duration,

![Fig. 2 Stages of the preparation process for an acrylic cementing material](image)

![Fig. 3 Evolution of the footprint of the HOPLER consistometer’s ball on the cement sample CC1](image)
namely to the increase of the cement hardening time. This can be due because of the fact that, in the same reaction conditions, the BuA presents a slower polymerisation speed than the MMA ($k_{p(MMA)} = 573 \text{ l/mol s}$, $k_{p(BuA)} = 14.5 \text{ l/mol s}$) [15,17].

Conclusions

The value of the contact angle both in connection to the water, as well as with the ethylene glycol changes at the same time with the composition of the cement because of the different polarity between the MMA and the BuA units.

The partial replacement of the MMA units with 5 - 20 % BuA units increases the cement hardening timing, allowing for the extension of the drafting and application time of the fixation material for the articular prosthesis.
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