The purpose of this study was to evaluate the behavior of four restorative materials in non-carious cervical lesions when subjected to exterior stresses of different magnitude. A plane model reproducing a vestibular and lingual section of the lower canine was created. The finite elements are of a two-dimensional type (2D) quadrilateral. Four restorative materials were used: Vitrebond, Dyract AP, Vitremer, Fuji IX. All materials were considered to be isotropic and elastic. Nodal charges were of 40 degrees to vertical, applied on the vestibular side at h=8.993mm to gingival crest, of increasing magnitudes: 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160 N.

The results of this study showed that after restoration stress values in all the elements are (with minimal differences) similar to those in the healthy tooth; a correct restoration leads to normal functioning of the tooth. It can be considered that particular types of restoring materials should be used in particular lesions to be restored, depending on the location of the lesion and the elastic characteristics of the materials and tooth structure. A correct restoration leads to normal functioning of the tooth.
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the behavior of four restorative materials in non-carious cervical lesions restored without preparation.

Experimental part

A 2D mathematical finite elements analysis model was generated. A plane model reproducing a vestibular and lingual section of the lower canine was created. The quality of the analysis results depends on the accuracy of the model [9].

Four restorative materials were used: Vitrebond (conventional glass ionomer cement, CGIC1), Dyract AP (polyacid-modified resin composite, PMARC), Vitremer (resin-modified glass-ionomer cement, RMGIC), Fuji IX (glass-ionomer cement with high viscosity, CGIC2). All materials were considered to be isotropic and elastic. Longitudinal elastic modulus (Young’s modulus $E$) and Poisson’s ratio $\nu$ values for the materials used in the model were derived from standard texts [3, 10, 11].

In order to obtain a situation as close to reality as possible, the model loading was achieved with a charge distributed on five different nodes. Nodal charges were of 40 degrees to vertical, applied on the vestibular side at h=8.993mm to colet, of increasing magnitudes: 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160 N.
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Human tooth represents a natural wonder. However, there is a major deficiency, namely, its limited capacity for regeneration. Thus, the necessity of replacing the lost structure resulting from cervical lesions, traumas, or other causes, by means of restorative materials. Cervical lesion restoration represents a unique clinical situation due to their unclear etiology. Non-carious cervical lesions (NCCL) are considerable restorative challenges for the dentist [1]. NCCL are defined as the loss of tooth structure at the cement-enamel junction. However, literature also describes other destructive processes that originate on the external surface of the tooth and affect it causing irreversible damage to the tooth structure, such as erosion, abrasion, attrition and abfraction [2, 3]. The etiologies of NCCLs are multifactorial, and therefore difficult to categorize into being caused by only abrasion, erosion, or abfraction [3].

The tooth has not a rigid structure, hence it can suffer strains when various forces/loads are applied. Intraoral loads vary from 10N to 430N, the normal clinical values being considered of 70N [4]. One current hypothesis is that the tensile or compressive strains gradually produce micro fractures. FEM consist of building a continuous material from smaller elements called finite elements, which interconnect in a finite number of points called nodes. FEM allows stress analysis of a given structure. Studies showed that mechanical overloading of cervical enamel can induce NCCL [5]. Recently, clinicians have been performing minimal preparations along with NCCL treatment.

Restoration failure can occur as a result of strain applied on the tooth, falling occlusal contacts [6]. Other authors confirmed cervical cavities strain under occlusal stress suggesting biomechanical actions of the tooth as possible factors of restoration failure [7,8].

* email: ormenisanalina@yahoo.fr; Phone. 0741248373

---

**Fig. 1. 2D model of the lower canine**
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties of Dental Tissue and Dental Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGIC 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAMRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMGIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGIC 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To compare the Young's modulus of the dentine, in which the lesion is found, to those of the restoration materials, the stress $\sigma$ [MPa] was plotted against strain $\varepsilon$ [mm/mm].

**Results and discussions**

The significant results bearing relevance to the phenomena are the following: von Mises stress, Minimum Principal Stresses and displacements of the structure.

Based on the results of this study it can be assessed that:

- After restoration stress values in all the elements are (with minimal differences) similar to those in the healthy tooth; a correct restoration leads to normal functioning of the tooth;
- When restoring a lesion, maximum strain is directed onto the apex of the restoration irrespective of material and stress magnitude;
- Restorations will be damaged by loads exceeding their strength capacity.
Loads of values exceeding $F = 80N$ will damage the tooth structure considering that dentine strength is $\sim 105.5 \text{ MPa}$.

Stress values in dentine tend to equalize, presenting lower values for Fuji IX, compared with Vitrebond, Dyract AP or Vitremer; it can be concluded that Fuji IX, with its elastic characteristics close to those of dentine, undergoes a stress pattern similar to that of the healthy tooth.

Stress values in dentine tend to equalize, presenting lower values for Fuji IX, compared with Vitrebond, Dyract AP or Vitremer; it can be concluded that Fuji IX, with its elastic characteristics close to those of dentine, undergoes a stress pattern similar to that of the healthy tooth.

The results obtained showed that:

a. in the healthy tooth
   - maximum stress occurs in the cervical area irrespective of load direction;
   - maximum stress values occur at 0.1mm above the cervical line;
   - stress values in the cervical area increase with occlusal loads values;
   - Von Mises equivalent stress values for the same value of the load are higher for oblique loads.

b. in the restored tooth
   - after reconstruction, stress values in all structure elements register differences similar to those in the healthy tooth;
   - stress values in the dentine exhibit very close figures, indicating that the reconstruction material undergoes the same distribution of stress as the healthy tooth;
   - maximum strain in the reconstruction appears in the bottom of it irrespective of the material used, direction and magnitude of loads;
   - considering that maximum strength for dentine $\sigma_{\text{dentine}} = 105.5 \text{ MPa}$ [5], it became clear that loads higher then $F=80N$ will damage the tooth structure.

Marcauteanu et al showed in their study that the class v restorations with componer can have an unpredictable prognosis without a previous occlusal equilibration [13]. After the study of Mahmoud et al, adhesive remnant index quantity of dental composites is inversely proportional with their shear bond strength [14]. When choosing a particular restorative material, it is quite important to consider the needs of the individual patient [15, 16]. As noted by McComb et al., xerostomic patients can benefit significantly from additional fluoride. However, the type of lesion may also play a role in selection of restorative material [17]. After the severity of the dentin sensibility, the clinical management of abfraction by in-office therapies with the recent introduced materials and technologies [18, 19], Terry et al. indicates that non-carious abfraction lesions may be caused by defective occlusal contacts. Stress and flexing may cause loss of tooth structure in the cervical area. In this situation, we can recall the tooth flexure theory, in which composites with a low modulus of elasticity (e.g., microfill and flowable) may be the optimal restorative material [20]. In addition, situations requiring optimal esthetics (all other factors excluded) can generally be best restored with composite resin based on the general properties of this material. Fine filler sizes, shape, orientation, and concentration create better polishing characteristics in the small-particle hybrid and microhybrid composites [21].

Conclusions

It can be considered that particular types of restoring materials should be used in particular lesions to be restored, depending on the location of the lesion and the elastic characteristics of the materials and tooth structure (dentine or enamel):

- Lesions in areas of elastic material, such as dentine in the colet, will require Fuji IX, followed by Vitrebond, Dyract AP and Vitremer;
- Lesions in areas of higher rigidity such enamel will require Fuji IX.

Von Mises values developed in all the elements of the healthy dental structure exhibited lesser figures than the same values in the cervical lesioned tooth, in all models.

The maximum strain in the bottom of the lesion which constitutes a stress concentrator

Stress distribution on restored tooth apex presents the same values, irrespective of situation, i.e. exhibiting maximum value at tooth/restoration level, on the external surface. A correct restoration leads to normal functioning of the tooth.
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